

B8 - Prestressed Concrete Girder - Verifications

!! This documentation is part of the [manual describing the B8 software](#)

Contents

Verifications	3
Calculation of the creep factor and the shrinkage strain	3
Cross-section properties	5
Support reactions and internal forces	5
Combinations of actions by external loading	6
Effective prestress	6
Verifications of the load-bearing capacity	13
Combination for the permanent and transient situation in the ultimate limit state	13
Combination for the accidental situation in the ultimate limit state	15
Combination for the seismic situation in the ultimate limit state	16
Bending with longitudinal force and resisting tensile force coverage	17
Shear resistance	22
Verification of the lateral buckling stability	27
Determination of the tensile splitting reinforcement	31
Anchoring of the pre-stressing reinforcement	32
Minimum reinforcement for longitudinal tension	34
Verifications in the serviceability limit state (SLS)	35
Infrequent (= characteristic combination)	35
Frequent combination	35
Quasi-permanent combination	36
Limitation of the concrete edge stresses and the steel stresses	36
Limitation of the crack width	39
Minimum reinforcement for the limitation of the crack width	42
Verification of the decompression	43
Limitation of deformation	43
Modification of the length of the girder at the supports	48
Reference literature	49

Reference example: You can find an extensive example of an output at [www.friilo.eu B8-Ref-BS-Eng.pdf](http://www.friilo.eu/B8-Ref-BS-Eng.pdf)

Abbreviations used in this document:

EN 01/01/1992:	EN2
DIN EN 1992-1-1/NA:	NA_D
PN EN 1992-1-1/NA:	NA_PN
ÖNORM B 02/01/1992:	NA_A
NA to BS EN 1992-1-1	NA_GB

Verifications

Calculation of the creep factor and the shrinkage strain

Depending on the selected options, the calculation is performed either on a single defined cross-section or on each examined cross-section.

Creep factor and shrinkage strain as per EN2, NA_D, NA_PN, NA_A

The calculation is performed for each creep stage as per 3.1.4 and Annex B, based on the following parameters:

Creep

α	exponent in equation B.9, depends on the type of cement
t_0T	age of the concrete at the beginning of the creep stage. If heat treatment was applied in the stressing bed, the concrete age is increased at the beginning of the storage in accordance with equation B.10. Otherwise, t_0T is determined by tT of the previous creep stage.
t_0TA	concrete age at the beginning of the creep stage, modified in line with the cement type as per equation B.9, to be used in equation B.5
tT	concrete age at the end of the creep stage; for periods with temperatures unequal to 20° C, modified concrete age as per equation B.10.
β_H	coefficient for the effective component thickness h_0 and the relative humidity RH in % as per equation B.8 a, b
h_0	effective component thickness in [mm] with the cross-sectional area A_c and the cross-sectional perimeter exposed to fresh air as per equation B.6
β_{tt_0}	coefficient to describe the creep behaviour over time as per equation B.7, modified as per reference /22/ p. 261, equation 3.104 to $\beta(t - t_k) - \beta(t_0 - t_k)$ with t_k referring to the start of the creep
ϕ_{RH}	coefficient to consider humidity in the determination of the basic creep factor as per equation B.3 a, b
$\beta(t_0)$	coefficient to consider the concrete age in the calculation of the basic creep factor as per equation B.5
$\beta(f_{cm})$	coefficient to consider the concrete strength in the determination of the basic creep factor as per equation B.4
ϕ	(t, t_0) creep factor as per equation B.1 in the examined creep stage

Shrinkage strain

$\beta_{t_0t_s}$	coefficient to describe the shrinkage behaviour over time as per equation 3.10 until the beginning of the creep stage
$\beta_{\infty t_0t_s}$	coefficient to describe the shrinkage behaviour over time as per equation 3.10 until the end of the creep stage
β_{RH}	coefficient to consider the relative humidity as per equation B.12
ε_{cds_0}	coefficient to consider the influence of the cement type and of the compressive concrete strength on the drying shrinkage as per equation B.11
β_{as}	coefficient to consider the age during shrinkage as per equation 3.13
ε_{as}	coefficient to consider the compressive strength and the cement type for the shrinkage as per equation 3.12
$\varepsilon(t, t_0)$	shrinkage strain as per equation 3.8 in the considered creep stage, modified in accordance with reference /11/, 2.6

Non-linear creep

If the limit compressive concrete stress cannot be complied with under the loads of the quasi-permanent load combination, an increased creep factor for non-linear creep is used in the calculation (equation 3.7). This factor is put out in the table 'Internal forces by prestressing' if a detailed output was selected.

Cross-section properties

First, the cross-section properties (area, moment of inertia and centre of gravity) of the gross concrete cross-section are calculated with consideration of the current girder height, of possible support reinforcements and recesses.

After this, the ideal cross-section properties are determined with consideration of the net concrete cross-section and pre-tensioned or untensioned reinforcement.

For cast-in-place concrete complements, the cross-section properties of the composite cross-section are determined in addition. The cast-in-place concrete cross-section is considered with its effective width.

The cross-section properties determined this way are specified in the detailed output of the cross-sections.

Support reactions and internal forces

Support reactions

The support reactions are determined with all loads acting at the time $t = \infty$ on the support.

The following values are put out for the left and right column:

- G: due to permanent loads (characteristic values)
- min Q, max Q: due to variable loads (characteristic values)
- min R, max R: resultant forces $G+Q$

If the option 'All sections detailed' is checked in the output profile, the characteristic support reactions are put out for all load cases and load components.

Internal forces

The internal forces for the self-weight and the defined imposed loads are calculated on the effective structural system.

During the storage of the girder, the same structural system is assumed as in the installed state with the supporting distance LST.

During the erection, the structural system is determined by the location of the suspension points.

Longitudinal forces caused by cable-stayed supports are not considered.

During the casting of the cast-in-place complement, auxiliary supports are considered, if applicable.

It is assumed that the columns are placed underneath the girder that is deformed by its self-weight and pre-tensioning. This means that the weight of the cast-in-place concrete and a possibly existing concreting load act on this structurally undetermined system. The internal forces and the supporting forces under the load $GE+BL$ are determined on a two-span or three-span girder with a constant supporting distance and with rigid supports. If the girder is continuously supported, no internal forces are generated through the concreting load and the weight of the cast-in-place concrete until the support is removed.

After removal of the support, the supporting forces of the auxiliary support act as a load on the girder to which the cast-in-place complement was applied.

In connection with cast-in-place complements, the specification of unequal distances to the adjacent girders may produce an extremely asymmetrical cross-section, which must be designed under oblique bending.

Oblique bending and possible torsion effects cannot be considered in the current version of the software.

Combinations of actions by external loading

The internal forces are determined in accordance with the theory of elasticity. Therefore, the superposition law applies to the internal forces and you can combine the internal forces instead of the actions.

For shear force, the combination criterion is the absolute amount. For the moments, M_{max} (tension on bottom) and M_{min} (tension on top) are determined.

The internal forces determined this way are put out in the table 'Internal forces due to external loading', when the detailed output of the cross-sections was selected:

- ▶ See the output example: [Internal forces due to external loading](#)

Effective prestress

Transfer of the pre-stressing force

The effective prestress at the time when the anchoring is released ($t = t_A, \text{Lag}$) is specified in the table "Effective Tendons" in the detailed output of the cross sections.


Only tendons with bond, i.e. tendons that are not stripped at the corresponding point are considered.

Within the transfer length l_{pt} , you are allowed to assume that the pre-stressing force has been totally transferred to the concrete. A linear transfer may be assumed.

If the cross-section to be verified is in the area of the transfer length of individual tendons, the effective prestress is reduced accordingly.

According to 8.10.2.2. (3), an unfavourable design value should be used in the respective verification ($l_{pt2} = 1.2 * l_{pt}$ or $l_{pt1} = 0.8 * l_{pt}$), i.e. in the area of the transfer length, a maximum and a minimum prestress occurs after releasing the anchoring.

Outside of the force application length l_{disp} , you are allowed to assume a linear behaviour of the concrete stresses. The tensile splitting forces generated within l_{disp} due to the non-linear behaviour of the stresses must be compensated with a [tensile splitting reinforcement](#).



EN 1992-1-1		
Transfer length	l_{pt}	eq. 8.16
Force application length	l_{disp}	eq. 8.19

$$l_{pt} = \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 \cdot \phi \cdot \sigma_{pm0} / f_{bpt} \quad \text{eq. 8.16}$$

α_1 : coefficient prestressing force application suddenly: 1.25 gradually: 1.0

α_2 : coefficient prestressing steel type strands 0.19 others: 0.25

ϕ : rated diameter of prestressing steel

σ_{pm0} stress in the prestressing steel for $t = t_{A, Lag}$ caused by prestressing

$f_{bpt} = \eta_1 \cdot \eta_{P1} \cdot f_{ctd}(t)$ bond stress as per eq. 8.15

η_1 : bond coefficient (8.4.2 poor bond 0.7, otherwise 1.0)

η_{P1} : coefficient prestressing steel type

NA_D: $\eta_{P1} = 2.85$

Otherwise: Strands: 2.7 wires: 3.2

$f_{ctd}(t) = \alpha_{ct} \cdot 0.7 \cdot f_{ctm}(t) / \gamma_c$ design value of the tensile strength for $t = t_{A, Lag}$

$f_{ctm}(t)$ as per eq. in table 3.1 with $f_{ck}(t)$

NA_D: lt. /56/ p. 324 instead of $f_{ck}(t)$ with $f_{cm}(t)$

α_{ct} : NA_D: 0.85

Otherwise: 1.0

or user-defined l_{pt}

$$l_{disp} = \sqrt{l_{pt}^2 + d^2} \quad \text{eq. 8.19}$$

Losses until the release of the anchoring of the prestressing reinforcement

Until the release of the anchoring, losses due to a short-term relaxation of the prestressing steel as per 5.10.3. (3) (see the paragraph prestressing steel relaxation) and possible losses due to a heat treatment before the release as per 10.5.2. (1) must be considered.


Losses after the release of the anchoring of the prestressing reinforcement

After the release of the anchoring and the transfer of the prestressing force to the concrete, creep and shrinkage begin and additional losses occur due to the relaxation of the prestressing steel.

The equation 5.46 of DIN EN 1992-1-1, which is used in many examples in expert literature, is only appropriate for a very limited range of border conditions. NCI to 5.10.6 (2) in DIN EN 1992-2/NA recommends more accurate calculations if multi-strand prestressing, high longitudinal reinforcement ratios with slag reinforcement or composite cross-sections of different concrete types are used.

The calculation in the software is performed in accordance with the method described by Abelein in reference /13/. We explain the method briefly below.


1) Creep-generating loads N_0, M_0



The creep-generating loads M_0 and N_0 consist of all effective permanent loads (characteristic values) as well as of the prestress (average value). Quasi-permanent load portions of variable loads are only considered if they do not have a relieving effect i.e. do not counteract prestress.

First, the resulting forces M_0 and N_0 of these loads that apply at the centre of gravity of the composite cross section are distributed over the k partial cross sections (pre-cast concrete, cast-in-place concrete, if applicable, prestressing steel layers and reinforcing steel layers).

2) Load portions $N_{0,k}, M_{0,k}$ of the partial cross-sections



In accordance with equation 3a, 3b, the following results:

$$M_{0,k} = n_k \cdot I_k / I_i \cdot M_0$$

$$N_{0,k} = n_k \cdot A_k / A_i \cdot N_0 + n_k \cdot S_k / I_i \cdot M_0$$

$n_k = E_k / E_i$ relation modulus of elasticity of partial cross-section to E_{cm} (precast component)

A_k, I_k, y_{uk} : Cross-sectional properties of partial cross-section


A_i, I_i, y_{uik} : Cross-sectional properties of composite cross-section

Each partial cross-section would be affected by the following strains and deformations due to creep, shrinkage and relaxation. These effects are compensated by the retaining forces $N_{f,k}$ and $M_{f,k}$ for the composite cross-section.

$$\epsilon_{csr} = \phi_k \cdot N_{0,k} / (A_k \cdot E_k) + \epsilon_{s,k} + 0.8 \cdot \epsilon_{p,r} \quad \chi_{csr} = \phi_k \cdot M_{0,k} / (I_k \cdot E_k) \quad (\text{eq. 5a, 5b}):$$

The factor 0.8 that is applied to the portion from relaxation considers the effect that the lowering of the stress in the prestressing steel due to creep and shrinkage strain also reduces the relaxation losses.

3) Retaining forces $N_{f,k}$, $M_{f,k}$



The general relationship for time-dependent deformations of rigid composite systems based on equation 2 is as follows: 2

$$\epsilon(t) = \sigma_0/E * (1+\phi) + \Delta\sigma(t)/E * (1+\rho * \phi) + \epsilon_s(t)$$

The resulting deformations due to $N_{f,k}$ or $M_{f,k}$ as per equation 6a, 6b are as follows:

$$\epsilon_f = (1+\rho k * \phi k) * N_{f,k} / (A k * E k)$$

$$\chi_f = (1+\rho k * \phi k) * M_{f,k} / (I k * E k)$$

If $\epsilon_{csr} = \epsilon_f$ and $\chi_{csr} = \chi_f$, the retaining forces as per equation 7a, 7b are as follows:

$$N_{f,k} = (N_{\phi,k} + N_{s,k} + N_{r,k}) / (1+\rho k * \phi k)$$

$$M_{f,k} = M_{\phi,k} / ((1+\rho k * \phi k))$$


with the portions from creep and shrinkage strain (only on concrete cross-sections)

$$N_{\phi,k} = N_{0,k} * \phi k \quad N_{s,k} = A k * \epsilon_{s,k} * E k$$


$$M_{\phi,k} = M_{0,k} * \phi k$$

and the portion from relaxation (only prestressing steel) $N_{r,k} = A k * \epsilon_{k,r} * E k$

4) Releasing forces N_i , M_i



The resulting retaining forces are applied in the reverse direction to the centre of gravity of the total cross-section as so-called releasing forces N_i , M_i . After creep, this cross-section assumes modified ideal cross-sectional properties, based on the cross-sectional properties of the partial cross-sections reduced by $E k / (1 + \rho k * \phi k)$, and is therefore also referred to as creep cross-section. This modification also includes the distance of the centre of gravity to the lower edge y_{uik} .

5) Releasing forces $N_{r,k}$, $M_{r,k}$ 

The releasing forces on each partial cross-section $N_{I,k}$ and $M_{I,k}$ are determined analogously to $M_{0,k}$ and $N_{0,k}$. Instead of nk , $nk^* = nk / (1 + \rho k \cdot \phi k)$ is to be considered, however.

The loss due to creep, shrinkage and relaxation for each partial cross section is determined by the sum of the retaining and releasing forces (eq. 10a, 10b) of the respective partial cross-section. The resulting stresses in the prestressing steel layers and the reinforcing steel layers are converted to the prestressing bed condition (/10/, eq. 45b).

Note: Due to the creep stresses in the reinforcing steel that are considered in the verification as per Eurocode/DIN 1045-1 because of the higher reinforcing steel portion (see also /22/p. 248), the effective prestress referenced to the prestressing bed condition can be affected by considerably higher losses caused by creep, shrinkage and relaxation than we know from experience, e.g. when applying equation 5.46 in DIN EN 1992-1-1, especially with low prestressing rates.

If the compressive concrete stresses in the quasi-permanent load combination are not complied with, a higher creep factor as per /30/ 11.1.1.2 (2) must be used in the calculation. This factor is put out in the table 'Internal forces by prestressing' if a detailed output was selected.

► See the output example: [Losses due to creep, shrinkage and relaxation](#)

Measures to reduce creep and shrinkage losses:


- Higher concrete age when releasing the anchoring (heat treatment, fast curing cements or specification of a later time)
- Earliest possible installation of the girder
- Selection of a higher concrete class
- Low reinforcing steel utilization (lower relaxation)

Prestressing steel relaxation

The relaxation losses are determined by time-dependent and load-dependent functions and by the selected prestressing steel.

EN2, NA_A, NA_PN, NA_GB: equations as per 3.3.2 (6) in accordance with the relaxation class
 NA_D: logarithmic interpolation with tabular values specified in the approval

The percentage of stress losses $\Delta\sigma_{pr}/\sigma_{pi}$ occurring on a prestressing steel with a very low relaxation as per 3.3.2(6) or as per approval are illustrated exemplary in the chart below for a loading rate $\mu = \sigma_{pi}/f_{pd} = 0.7$ (σ_{pi} : prestressing steel stress, $\Delta\sigma_{pr}$: stress change in the prestressing steel due to relaxation).




The loss due to short-term relaxation is determined by the loading rate resulting from the full prestress in the prestressing bed immediately before the release of the anchoring ($t = t_{A,Lag}$).

The relaxation loss in the creep stage is determined with the help of the relaxation curve for the loading rate $\mu = \sigma_{pi}/f_{pk}$ at the beginning of the creep stage. σ_{pi} is the prestressing steel stress that is determined by all permanent loads acting at that time.

$\Delta\sigma_{pr}$ is determined by the difference between the value on the curve for the end ($t = t_E$) and the value on the curve for the beginning ($t = t_A$) of the creep stage.

The chart below shows exemplary curves for relaxation class 2 for three loading rates as per 3.3.2 (6): the curve for the short-term relaxation with $\mu=0.68$, the curve for the 'storage' creep stage with $\mu=0.65$ and the curve for the 'usage' creep stage with $\mu=0.61$.



Because the order of magnitude of relaxation losses is considerably smaller than that of losses due to creep and shrinkage, the quasi-permanent load portions of variable loads are only considered in the determination of σ_{pi} , if they do not have a load-relieving effect for the creep in total.

According to 3.3.2 (8), the final values of the relaxation losses (end of the 'usage' creep stage) may be calculated with $t = 500,000$ h.

If heat treatment is applied before releasing the anchoring, an equivalent period t_{eq} as per 10.3.2.1 is added to the times to be considered.

NA_D: In accordance with the *general approval* of the prestressing steel by the building authorities, the relaxation loss over the total service life is anticipated as short-term relaxation if a heat treatment is applied in the prestressing bed. If the prestress in the prestressing bed is smaller than $0.65 \cdot R_m$ and smaller than $0.8 \cdot R_{p0.1}$, you may anticipate a loss of 4 % for prestressing strands with very low relaxation.

Verifications of the load-bearing capacity

The analyses in the ultimate limit state include the following individual verifications:

- Verification of the bending resistance and verification of the resisting tensile force coverage
- Verification of the shear resistance (verifications of the stirrup reinforcement and the compression strut)
- Verification of the shear transfer in the composite joint
- Verification of the prestressing steel anchoring
- Verification of the tensile splitting reinforcement
- Verification of the lateral buckling stability

The verifications are performed in different design situations that depend on the applying actions:

- Permanent and transient design situation, abbreviated to PT
- Accidental design situation, abbreviated to A
- Accidental design situation earthquake (seismic situation), abbreviated to Ae

Depending on the design situation, different rules apply to the load combinatorics and different material parameters need to be defined. They are described in the following chapters.

Combination for the permanent and transient situation in the ultimate limit state

The combination is based on the STR limit state (failure of the load-bearing structure or excessive deformation)

NA_D, NA_A:	eq. 6.10
EN2, NA_PN, NA_GB	more unfavourable value as per 6.10a and 6.10b
	NA_GB: $\xi = 0.925$, otherwise $\xi = 0.85$

Permanent actions

NA_D:	Partial safety factors γ_G as per DIN EN 1990/NA table NA.A.1.2(B)
EN2, NA_D, NA_PN, NA_A, NA_GB:	Partial safety factors γ_G as per EN 1990 table A.1.2(B)

According to the interpretation of 2.4.2 in reference /52/, the simplifying regulation for permanent actions, which allows using the same γ_G value for the top and the bottom in all spans, is only applicable for a relation of the variable and permanent loads of $q/g > 0.2$ and for smaller cantilevers.

In other cases, the decisive combination must be sought after by applying the most unfavourable γ_G in each span. This rule is implemented as a standard in the software, the simplification described above can be selected optionally.

By activating the option 'Do not combine permanent actions span-wise' in the menu 'Optional settings', the combination is performed in accordance with the rule described above, which was applicable until recently and is now a user-defined special case.

Variable actions

NA_D:	partial safety factors as per DIN EN 1990/NA tab. combination coefficients as per DIN EN 1990/NA table NA.A.1.1
NA_GB:	partial safety factors as per NA to BS EN 1990 , table NA.A1.2 (B), combination coefficients as per DIN EN 1990, table NA.A1
EN2, NA_A, NA_PN:	partial safety factors γ_0 as per EN 1990 table A.1.2(B) combination coefficients as per EN 1990 table A.1.1

Variable actions with an unfavourable effect are included in the combination with characteristic values modified by the partial safety factor and the combination coefficient ψ_0 .

In contrast to other variable actions, the dominant independent action (leading action) is not reduced by the corresponding combination coefficient.

When assigning another consequence class than CC2 (EN 1990 table B.1), the partial safety factors of the actions are modified via an adjustment factor KFI (EN 1990 Tab. B.3).

NA_D:	according to the model list of technical construction regulations MLTB 9/2014, Annex B (KFI factors) must not be applied.
NA_GB:	Annex B (KFI factors) must not be applied

If different actions due to imposed and/or live loads apply, they are treated by default as correlating actions, i.e. as a single action. The action with the greatest combination coefficient is decisive ψ_0 (cf. /41/ p.19, 28, 38). You can cancel the dependency in the design settings, if there is no correlation between these actions.

NA_D (NDP to A1.2.1(1) Note 2):

- If wind and snow are accompanying actions of a non-climatic action, you need only consider one of the two climatic actions in altitudes not higher than 1000 metres above MSL.
- If wind and snow is combined in regions of wind zone 3 or 4 and if wind is the leading action, snow can be dispensed with as an accompanying action.

Construction states:

NA_D: NCI to 10.2 allows the inclusion of $\gamma_G = 1.15$

Combination for the accidental situation in the ultimate limit state

EN 1990, eq. 6.11

NA_D:	partial safety factors as per DIN EN 1990/NA table NA.A.1.1
NA_GB:	combination coefficients in accordance with NA to BS EN 1990 table NA.A1
EN2, NA_PN, NA_A:	combination coefficients as EN 1990 table A.1.1

For each independent accidental action, a separate combination is to be examined. The accidental combination is to be included with its calculated value Ad .

In the current version of the software, you cannot consider several independent accidental actions in the same item. An accidental action can consist of several components, however.

Permanent loads are considered with their characteristic values.

The prevailing independent variable action is reduced by the combination coefficient ψ_1 , all other variable actions are reduced by the combination coefficient ψ_2 .

NA_A: Also the prevailing action is reduced by the combination coefficient ψ_2 .

If different actions due to imposed and/or live loads apply, they are treated by default as correlating actions, i.e. as a single action. The action with the greatest combination coefficient is decisive ψ_2 (cf. /41/ p.19, 28, 38). You can cancel the dependency in the design settings, if there is no correlation between these actions.

NA_D:

In the current software version, it is always assumed that the accidental action is caused by a vehicle impact or an explosion.

According to NDP to A1.3.2, you may use the combination coefficient $\psi_{2,1}$ instead of $\psi_{1,1}$ in the equations 6.11 in this case.

The construction regulations of some German federal states, mainly in Northern Germany, require the consideration of an accidental snow load in addition to the normal snow load. In the current version of the software, you cannot map this correctly unless you calculate two separate items.

Combination for the seismic situation in the ultimate limit state

EN 1990, eq. 6.11

NA_D: partial safety factors as per DIN EN 1990/NA table NA.A.1.1

NA_GB: combination coefficients in accordance with NA to BS EN 1990 table NA.A1

EN2, NA_PN, NA_A: combination coefficients as EN 1990 table A.1.1

For each independent seismic action, a separate combination is to be examined. The action is to be included with its calculated value A_{ed} .

In the current version of the software, you cannot consider several independent seismic actions in the same item. A seismic action can consist of several components, however.

Permanent loads are considered with their characteristic values.

Variable actions with an unfavourable effect are included in the combination with characteristic values reduced by the quasi-permanent coefficient ψ_2 .

NA_D:

If the option 'accidental earthquake with snow' is ticked, the combination coefficient $\psi_2 = 0.5$ instead of $\psi_2 = 0$ is considered for snow. This is required by the Construction Codes of some federal states in Germany (Baden-Württemberg).


Bending with longitudinal force and resisting tensile force coverage

The resisting moments MRd are determined at the beginning and the end of each creep stage for a tension zone assumed on top or on bottom.

If prestress generates tension on top, the verification on bottom is performed at the end of the creep stage and the verification on top is performed at the beginning of the creep stage. In other cases, this is done the other way round.

MRd is compared to the calculated value of the decisive applying internal moment MEd . MEd,max becomes decisive for the verification on bottom and MEd,min for the verification on top.

The verification is always performed for the permanent and transient situations. If corresponding actions apply, the verification is also performed for the accidental and seismic situations.



Verification not successful

If ϵ_{ta} is not complied with, the cross section must be increased under normal conditions if the pressure zone fails. Otherwise, the tensile reinforcement must be increased.

Determination of the ultimate moment

The ultimate strains and compressive strains of the cross-section are determined by iteration with consideration of the limit strains as per 6.1 (6). The state is sought after in which the resultant force of the concrete and steel stresses is in equilibrium with the resultant forces of the tensile steel stresses and one of the following failure criteria is met:

Limit strain as per 6.1 (6), figure 6.1) 1

	EN2 NA_A, NA_PN, NA_GB	NA_D
Ultimate compressive concrete strain [%] ϵ_{c2} and ϵ_{cu2}	tab.3.1	= EN2
Limit steel strain [%] ϵ_{ud}	0.9 * ϵ_{uk}	25

The compressive concrete force is determined with the help of the internal action curve of the concrete used. Recesses are considered, if applicable.

Parabola rectangle stress chart as per figure 3.3

f_{cd} : calculated value of the compressive concrete strength
as per eq. 3.15 $f_{cd} = \alpha_{cc} \cdot f_{ck} / \gamma_c$

For $t = t_A, Lag$, the calculation is based on the early strength $f_{ck}(t)$

γ_c : partial safety factor of the concrete corresponding to the examined design situation
(PT: permanent/transient, A: accidental, Ae: seismic (earthquake)
PT and A as per 2.4.2.4 (1), Ae as per EN 1998-1 para. 5.42.4.(3))

α_{cc} : coefficient to consider the long-term effect

ϵ_{c2} : limit strain for the transition from the parabolic to the rectangular area as per table 3.1

ϵ_{cu2} : limit strain as per table 3.1

n exponent n as per table 3.1

	EN2	NA_D	NA_A	NA_PN	NA_GB
$\gamma_c(PT)$:	1.5	= EN2	= EN2	1.4	= EN2
$\gamma_c(A)$:	1.2	1.3	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2
$\gamma_c(Ae)$:	= $\gamma_c(PT)$:	= EN2	1.3	= EN2	= EN2
α_{cc}	1.0	0.85	= EN2	= EN2	0.85

If the precast component was manufactured in the factory, possible reduction of γ_c as per annex A

	A2.1 reduced geometric deviations due to control $\gamma_c, Red1$	A2.2 (1) measured or reduced geometric data $\gamma_c, Red2$	A2.2 (2) variation coefficient of concrete strength < 10 % $\gamma_c, Red3$	A2.3 concrete strength in the mixing plant determines the reduction η ($\gamma_c, Red^* \eta$)	A2.3 Minimum γ_c ($\gamma_c, Red4$)
EN	1.4	1.45	1.35	0.85	1.30
NA_D	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.9	1.35
NA_GB	= EN	= EN	= EN	= EN	= EN
NA_A	= EN	= EN	= EN	= EN	= EN
NA_PN	1.35	Not allowed	Not allowed	Not allowed	1.35

Bi-linear internal action curve as per figure 3.8:

- f_{yk} : characteristic value of the yield point
 f_{tk} : characteristic value of the tensile strength (maximum value of the inclined branch at ϵ_{uk})
 ϵ_{uk} : characteristic strain under maximum load
 γ_s : partial safety factor in accordance with the examined design situation
 (PT: permanent/transient, A: accidental, Ae: seismic (earthquake)
 PT and A as per 2.4.2.4 (1), Ae as per EN 1998-1 para. 5.2.4.(3))
 f_{yd} : design value of the yield strength
 $f_{yd} = f_{yk} / \gamma_s$
 f_{td} : design value of the maximum stress of the inclined upper branch at ϵ_{uk}
 $f_{td} = f_{tk} / \gamma_s$
 ϵ_{ud} : calculated ultimate strain as per 3.2.7 (2)
 E_s : modulus of elasticity of reinforced concrete,
 as per 3.2.7 (4) is $E_s = 200,000 \text{ N/mm}^2$

	EN2	NA_D	NA_A	NA_PN	NA_GB
ϵ_{uk} (o(oo))	Annex C:	= 25	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2
f_{tk}	= $(f_t/f_y)k \cdot f_{yk}$	$f_{tk,cal}$ = 525 N/mm ²	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2
γ_s (PT)	1.15	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2
γ_s (A)	1.0	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2
γ_s (Ae)	= γ_s (PT)	= EN2	1.0	= EN2	= EN2
ϵ_{uk} (o(oo))	0.9* ϵ_{uk}	25	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2

If the precast component was manufactured in the factory, possible reduction of γ_s as per annex A

	A2.1 reduced geometric deviations due to control $\gamma_{s,Red1}$	A2.2 (1) measured or diminished geometric data $\gamma_{c,Red2}$
NA_EN	1.10	1.05
NA_D	1.15	1.15
NA_GB	= EN2	= EN2
NA_A	= EN2	= EN2
NA_PN	= EN	= EN

Bi-linear internal action curve as per figure 3.10

$f_{pk0,1k}$:	characteristic value of the strain limit of 0.1 %
f_{pk} :	characteristic value of the tensile strength (maximum value of the inclined branch at ϵ_{uk})
ϵ_{uk} :	characteristic strain under maximum load
γ_s :	partial safety factor in accordance with the examined design situation (PT: permanent/transient, A: accidental, Ae: seismic (earthquake) PT and A as per 2.4.2.4 (1), Ae as per EN 1998-1 para. 5.42.4.(3))
f_{pd} :	design value of the stress at the beginning of the inclined upper branch $f_{pd} = f_{pk0,1k} / \gamma_s$
f_{pk} / γ_s :	calculated value of the maximum stress of the inclined upper branch at ϵ_{uk}
ϵ_{ud} :	calculated ultimate strain as per 3.3.6 (7)
E_p :	modulus of elasticity of the prestressing steel as per 3.3.6 (3) 195,000 N/mm ² for strands, 205,000 N/mm ² for bars and wires

	EN2	NA_D	NA_A	NA_PN	NA_GB
$f_{p0.1k}$	0.9* f_{pk}	Approval	= EN2	= EN2	= 0.88* f_{pk}
f_{pk}	EN 10138	Approval	ÖNORM B 4758	= EN2	BS 5896 [2012]
ϵ_{uk}	EN 10138	Approval	ÖNORM B 4758	= EN2	BS 5896 [2012]
$\gamma_s(PT)$:	1.15	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2
$\gamma_s(A)$	1.0	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2
$\gamma_s(Ae)$	= $\gamma_s(PT)$	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2
f_{pk} / γ_s :	at ϵ_{uk}	at ϵ_{ud}	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2
$\epsilon_{uk} (o/oo)$	0.9* ϵ_{uk}	$\epsilon_p^{(0)} + 25 o/oo < 0.9* \epsilon_{uk}$	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2

The effect of the effective prestress at the time of examination is considered as pre-strain of the reinforcement. According to 5.10.8 (1) the calculated value of the prestress $P_{d,t} = \gamma_p \cdot P_{m,t}$ is to be used in the verifications in the SLS.

EN2, NA_D, NA_A, NA_PN:	$\gamma_{p,fav} = 1.0$	$\gamma_{p,unfav} = 1.0$
NA_GB:	$\gamma_{p,fav} = 0.9$	$\gamma_{p,unfav} = 1.1$

The size and the location of the resultant tensile and compression forces are determined for the failure state to be examined. The resisting ultimate moment is the product of the resultant tensile force and the distance of the resultant tensile force to resultant compressive force.

► See the output example: [Bending with longitudinal force in the ULS](#)

Specialities regarding cast-in-place complements

In verifications that are performed on cross-sections with cast-in-place complements after the creation of the bond (end of the creep stage "casting of cast-in-place complement"), the cross-section is assumed as being complete right from the beginning (EN2: 10.9.3 (8)), the specific internal action curve of the cast-in-place concrete is considered.

Resisting tensile force coverage

The tensile force diagram of each cross-section is the result of $TEd(x) = MEd(x)/z(x)$. The resisting tensile force diagram $Td(x)$ is determined by displacing the tensile force diagram by the offset dimension in the more unfavourable direction in each case.



$Td(x)$ at the grid points is determined by linear interpolation inside the displaced polygonal chain. The resisting tensile force is determined by the relation $TRd(x) = MRd(x)/z(x)$.

Each verification is to be performed in the ultimate limit state. Because of the linear-elastic determination of the internal forces, you can dispense with a verification in the serviceability limit state.

In accordance with 9.2.1.3(2), the offset dimension a_l is determined by the compression strut angle θ for vertical stirrups (shear force resistance verification) and the lever arm z of the internal force (verification of bending with longitudinal force) and is expressed by the following relation $a_l(x) = z/2 \cdot \cot \theta$. At the same time, the condition $a_l \geq 0.5 \cdot d$ applies as recommended in reference /27/ p. 720.

The tensile force coverage can be displayed in the form of a table

or graphically.



In the tabular representation, the safety condition $Eta = TRd(x) / Td(x)$, the offset dimension and the reason why a verification is required are displayed.

As per 8.10.2.2, the maximum tensile force of the tendons is limited in the anchoring area l_{bpd} as shown in figure 8.17DE.

It may happen that resisting tensile force coverage can only be achieved by adding slag reinforcement or by increasing the projection.

Detailed information about the anchoring area is displayed in the list selection of the text view.

The verification is successful, when the following condition is true: $Eta = TRd(x) / Td(x) > 1.0$.

Shear resistance

The verification is performed at the beginning and the end of each creep stage for the maximum shear force and the associated moment as well as for the maximum moment and the associated shear force. The shear reinforcement is calculated for vertical stirrups.

In the current version of the software, you cannot verify sections in the area of recesses.

The design of the shear reinforcement is based on the method using a variable compression strut inclination. Moreover, the load-bearing capacity of the compression struts is to be verified.

VEd0: calculated value of the shear force applied by external loads for the corresponding design situation.

VEd: design value of the shear force (6.2.1 (1), (2), (3), (5))
 ▶ See also reference [/54/](#) eq.7.99b and NA_D NCI to 6.2.1 (1))

$$V_{Ed} = V_{Ed0} - V_{pd} - V_{ccd} - V_{td}$$

Vpd: component with inclined tendons (*dV* from *pd*),


$$V_{pd} = -\sin(\Psi) \cdot F_{pd}$$

Psi: angle between the tendon and the horizontal axis

Fpd: calculated value of prestress

$$F_{pd} = P_{mt} \leq A_p \cdot f_{p0,1k} / \gamma_{ams} \quad /31/ \text{ p.338 eq. 4.56}$$

Pmt: average value at the time of examination



With variable cross-section height (*dV* from *cc*):

Vtd: component with inclined bottom chord

Vtd = 0, as the bottom chord is always horizontal

Vccd: component with inclined top chord


$$V_{ccd} = M_{yd} \cdot \tan \varphi / z_{II}$$

M_{yd}: associated moment

φ: inclination of the top chord in relation to the horizontal axis

z_{II}: lever arm of the internal forces

▶ see [Bending with longitudinal force](#)



Sign convention: reducing, when *z* and *|M_{yd}|* increase or decrease simultaneously.

VEd,Red shear force portions of concentrated loads applying at a distance to the support edge *av* < 2.0 · *d* may be reduced by $\beta = \max(av, 0.5 \cdot d) / (2 \cdot d)$ if a direct support was defined in accordance with 6.2.3 (8).

VRd,c shear force resistance without reinforcement, determined with equation 6.2a+b.

Crucial parameters are:

- concrete strength,
- longitudinal reinforcement ratio ρ_l of the tensile reinforcement extended beyond the area in which reinforcement is required by the dimension $l_{bd}+d$
- concrete stress σ_{cp} caused by longitudinal forces in the centre of gravity
- effective height d and scale factor k for the component height
- smallest cross-section width inside the tension zone bw
- pre-factor CRd,c in accordance with the examined design situation

	EN2	NA_D	NA_A	NA_PN	NA_GB
CRd,c	0,18 / γ_c	0,15 / γ_c	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2 > C50 test or as C50
K1	0.15	0.12	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2
v_{min}	0.035 $\cdot k^{3/2} \cdot f_{ck}^{1/2}$	(0.0525 / γ_c) $\cdot k^{3/2} \cdot f_{ck}^{1/2}$	= EN2	= EN2	= EN2
$d \leq 600$ mm		(0.0375 / γ_c) $\cdot k^{3/2} \cdot f_{ck}^{1/2}$			
$d > 800$ mm					

For CRd,c and v_{min} , the partial safety factors of the examined design situation are used (see the paragraph 'Bending with longitudinal force').

Cot θ inclination angle of the compression strut

The best possible stirrup reinforcement results when selecting the greatest possible value, at which the compression strut is still resisting.

- Minimum and maximum (NDP)

EN2, NA_GB: $1 \leq \cot \theta \leq 2.5$

NA_PN: $1 \leq \cot \theta \leq 2.0$

NA_D: as per eq. 6.7aDE, $0.58 \leq \cot \theta \leq 3.0$

NA_A: $0.6 \dots 0.4 (f_{yd} \leq \sigma_{sd} \leq 0) \leq \tan \theta \leq 1.0$

0.4 also applies when the tensile reinforcement is constant over the total

length of the girder.

asw if the design value $V_{Ed,Red}$ is greater than $VR_{d,c}$ (6.2.1 (5) and 6.2.2(6)), a shear reinforcement asw is calculated as per equation 6.8 1 that meets the condition $VR_{d,s} = V_{Ed,Red}$. Otherwise, the software checks whether a minimum shear reinforcement for beams as per 9.2.2 or for slabs as per 9.3.3 is required.

The decisive area for the determination of the shear reinforcement ends at a distance d to the support edge in accordance with 6.2.1 (8) if direct supports have been defined and if the loads are uniformly distributed. In other cases, this area ends at the edge of the support. If any concentrated load applies between the edge of the support and the area border, the area border is displaced to the concentrated load that has the lowest distance to the support edge.

For the design value of the yield strength of the stirrups, the partial safety factors of the examined design situation are to be used (see the paragraph [Bending with longitudinal force](#))

z: lever arm of the internal forces, is determined in the verification of the bending resistance.

NA_D: NCI: $z < d - 2 \cdot cv$ or $z < d - cv - 3.0 \text{ cm}$

The user can optionally disable this condition in the design settings to avoid very small cantilevers in combination with thin slabs.

Minimum shear reinforcement $\min. a_{sw}/s = \rho \cdot b_w \cdot \sin \alpha$

	ρ (beams) as per 9.2.2:	ρ (slabs) as per 9.3.2:	Comment
EN	$0.08 \cdot \sqrt{f_{ck}/f_{yk}}$	0	
NA-D:	$0.16 \cdot f_{ctm}/f_{yk}$ Prestressed tension chord of flanged cross-sections: $0.256 \cdot f_{ctm}/f_{yk}$	0 if $VEd < VR_{dc}$ Otherwise $0.6 \cdot \rho$	Junction area $4 < b/h < 5$: Interpolation between 0 and the simple value ($VEd < VR_{dc}$) or between 0.6 and the simple value ($VEd > VR_{dc}$)
NA-GB	= EN	= EN	
NA-A	$0.15 \cdot f_{ctm}/f_{yd}$	= EN	
NA-PN	= EN	= EN	

$VR_{d,max}$ the compression strut resistance is determined as per equation 6.9.

$$VR_{d,max} = \alpha_{cw} \cdot b_w \cdot z \cdot v_1 \cdot f_{cd} / (\cot \theta + \tan \theta)$$

α_{cw} : EN2, NA_A, NA_PN, NA_GB:

$$\alpha_{cw} = 1 \quad \text{not prestressed}$$

$$\alpha_{cw} = (1 + \sigma_{cp} / f_{cd}) \quad \text{for } 0 < \sigma_{cp} \leq 0.25f_{cd}$$

$$\alpha_{cw} = 1.25 \quad \text{for } 0.25f_{cd} < \sigma_{cp} \leq 0.5f_{cd}$$

$$\alpha_{cw} = 2.5 \cdot (1 - \sigma_{cp} / f_{cd}) \quad \text{for } 0.5f_{cd} < \sigma_{cp} < 1.0f_{cd}$$

$$NA_D: \alpha_{cw} = 1.0$$

v_1 : EN2, NA_A, NA_PN, NA_GB: $v_1 = 0,6 \cdot (1 - f_{ck}/250)$ eq. 6.6N 6.6N

$$NA_D: v_1 = 0,75 \cdot v_2$$

$$1 = 1.1 \cdot f_{ck}/500 > 1.0$$

b_w : slowest width in the cross-section as shown in figure 6.5.

f_{cd} design value of the compressive strength of the concrete as per equation 3.15, see the chapter [Bending with longitudinal force...](#)

For $t = t_{A,Lag}$, f_{cd} is calculated with the early strength $f_{ck}(t)$.

NA_GB: f_{cd} as per PD 6687:2006 with $\alpha_{cc} = 1.0$

In accordance with 6.2.3 (8), the verification is performed at the support edge and considers the shear force VEd without reduction (6.2.1 (8)). The resistance of the compression strut increases with the steepness of the strut angle and reaches its maximum at $\theta = 45$ degrees.

In the detailed output for a single section, the mentioned intermediate results are put out for each creep stage at its beginning and its end.

Moreover, you can display the layout of the shear reinforcement and the compression strut resistance in the form of tables or graphically.

Verification of the shear force transfer in the joint as per DIN EN 1992-1-1/NA

$$v_{Ed} \leq v_{Rdi}$$

v_{Ed} shear force to be transferred per length unit in the joint

$$v_{Ed} = \beta \cdot V_{Ed} / (z \cdot b_i) \quad \text{eq 6.24}$$

V_{Ed} : design value of the shear force

z : lever arm of the internal forces ▶ see [Verification of the shear resistance](#)

NA_D : If $VR_{d,c} > V_{Ed}$, the lever arm limitation by cv is dispensed with.

β : relation of axial force in the cast-in-place concrete to the total compressive force.
The software always assumes a value of 1.0 on the safe side.

b_i : effective joint width, reduced total width due to prefabricated formwork, if applicable.

v_{Rdi} design value of the shear force resistance of the joint as per eq. 6.25

$$v_{Rdi} = c \cdot f_{ctd} + \mu \cdot \sigma_n + \rho \cdot f_{yd} \cdot (\mu \cdot \sin \alpha + \cos \alpha) < 0.5 \cdot v \cdot f_{cd}$$

NA_D :

$$v_{Rdi} = c \cdot f_{ctd} + \mu \cdot \sigma_n + \rho \cdot f_{yd} \cdot (1.2 \cdot \mu \cdot \sin \alpha + \cos \alpha) < 0.5 \cdot v \cdot f_{cd}$$

σ_n axial stress perpendicular to the joint with $\sigma_n = < 0.6 \cdot f_{cd}$
tension is negative

c roughness factor in accordance with the surface roughness as per 6.2.5 (2)

If σ_n is tension than $c = 0$

c	Very smooth	Smooth	Rough	Interlocked
	0,025	0.20	0.40	0.50
	$NA_D: 0$			

f_{ctd} design value of the compressive strength as per eq. 3.16

$$f_{ctd} = \alpha_{ct} \cdot f_{ctk,0,05} / \gamma_c$$

α_{ct} : EN2, NA_A , NA_PN , NA_GB : 1.0

NA_D : 0.85

$f_{ctk,0,05}$ characteristic tensile strength as per table 3.1, 5% quantile

γ_c partial safety factor,
see the chapter [Bending with longitudinal force...](#)

$\mu \cdot \sigma_n$ portion from axial force normal to the joint, is not considered by the software

μ friction coefficient in accordance with the surface roughness

as per 6.2.5 (2)

μ	Very smooth	Smooth	Rough	Interlocked
	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.9

v · reduction of the joint roughness as per 6.2.2 (6)

v	Very smooth	Smooth	Rough	Interlocked
EN2	$v = 0.6(1 - f_{ck} / 250)$			
NA_D	0	0.2	0.5	0.70
	>C50/60: $v = v \cdot (1.1 - f_{ck} / 500)$			

fcd analogous to VRd,max

As $vEd = vRdi$ and $\rho = A_s/A_i$ (A_i is the area of the joint) is used to calculate the required reinforcement quantity.

NA_A: As results with f_{yd} , a verification of the anchors is not performed.

If a calculated required reinforcement results, the software checks whether a minimum reinforcement is required.

For the design values of the compressive and tensile strength of the concrete as well as for the yield strength of the shear reinforcement, the partial safety factors of the examined design situation are to be used (see the paragraph [Bending with longitudinal force](#))

Verification of the lateral buckling stability

The lateral buckling stability in the installed state can be verified with methods described by Stiglat /16/ or Mann /17/. In addition to this, the lateral buckling stability can be verified for the erection with a lifting beam and/or a suspension gear.

Reference /4/ 1.3 allows to change over to the global safety concept and this concept is used here ▶ see also example 5 in reference [/9/](#) and /58/.

The existing maximum moment is determined with the characteristic values of the actions without consideration of load factors. If accidental actions or seismic actions apply, they are considered with their full value.

The summary safety factors of the respective method apply.

Cast-in-place complement

If a cast-in-place complement is added subsequently to a cross-section, the state of the prefabricated component during the casting of the cast-in-place concrete is examined.

In combination with a cast-in-place complement of the 'solid slab' type, the state after the creation of the bond is not examined, because the slab increases the lateral buckling stability.

In other cases, it is assumed in the verification after the creation of the bond that the composite cross-section for all loads has existed right from the beginning. The material parameters are weighted in accordance with the respective area portions.

Cantilevers

The common stabilizing effect of cantilevers with respect to the lateral buckling moment cannot be considered in the current version of the software. You should use the software BTII (Second-order buckling torsion analysis) to determine the ideal lateral buckling moment.

Comparison to the methods described by Mann and Stiglat

The verification based on the method described by Stiglat normally delivers greater referenced lateral buckling stabilities than the verification method described by Mann. However, it may happen that the lateral buckling stability is provided in accordance with Stiglat but not in accordance with Mann. For fully or partially prestressed girders, the method described by Stiglat produces results that have been proven by tests (/16/) and turned out to be on the safe side. If the initial imperfections are not extraordinarily great, lateral buckling safety proven in accordance with Stiglat will be sufficient.

In combination with untensioned reinforcement or weakly prestressed girders, we recommend using the method described by Mann.

Lateral buckling stability verification in the installed state in accordance with Stiglat

▶ See reference [/14/ and /16/ and /36/](#)

The method described by Stiglat is based on the lateral buckling analysis known from the theory of elasticity. It is adjusted to the concrete via the load-bearing stresses of a compression member with the same comparison slenderness.

The influence of the reinforcement is neglected. Because initial imperfections cannot be considered, an increased safety with a factor of 2.0 is required.

To consider a possibly existing state II in verifications as per EN2/ DIN 1045-1, only 60 % of the torsional moment of inertia are considered in the calculation, if $f_{ctk0.05}$ is exceeded in the infrequent load combination.

The assumptions the method is based on (parabolic moment behaviour, load application at the top edge of the girder, fork support, centre of gravity = shear centre) are on the safe side under normal conditions.

Explanations concerning the output data

- hc: distance between the centres of gravity of the compression chord and the tension chord
- Beta1: auxiliary value for the calculation of k_2
- Beta2: auxiliary value for the calculation of k_3
- k_1 : factor to consider the supporting conditions and the moment behaviour (assumption in the software: fork support and parabolic moment behaviour, $k_1 = 3.54$)
- k_2 : factor to consider flange bending (warping resistance), approx. 1.0
- k_3 : coefficient to consider the load application height above the shear centre, $k_3 < 1$ if the load applies above M (assumption in the software: load application at the girder top edge, shear centre = centre of gravity)

AK: intermediate value in the calculation of the elastic lateral buckling moment

$$AK = E_b \cdot I_y \cdot G_b \cdot I_t \cdot I_x / (I_x \cdot I_y)$$

The stiffnesses for girders with variable height are averaged in accordance with Rafla /15/ .

G_b : shear modulus of the concrete, corresponds to $0.4 \cdot E_b$

I_t : torsional moment of inertia

MK: lateral buckling moment with ideally elastic material behaviour

$$MK = k_1 \cdot k_2 \cdot k_3 \cdot \frac{\sqrt{AK}}{L}$$

W_{x0} : section modulus of the compression chord at the cross-section at the distance x

x : distance of the cross-section with the highest concrete stresses due to external loading including the self-weight of the girder beginning

σ_B : calculated concrete stress at the compression edge MK'/W_{x0} ; MK' results from MK when assuming a behaviour of MK affine to the internal moment function.

λ_{dV} : comparison slenderness of the buckling girder

$$\lambda_{dV} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{E_b / \sigma_B}}$$

For cross-sections with cast-in-place complement, the concrete class is averaged in accordance with the portions of the pre-cast concrete and the cast-in-place concrete.

σ_T : buckling stress of a compression member with pinned supports on both sides and with the same comparison slenderness with consideration of the non-linear stress-strain relation (equation 3.14, tangent modulus) of the concrete. For cross-sections with cast-in-place complement, the concrete class is averaged in accordance with the portions of the pre-cast concrete and the cast-in-place concrete (F_{akBN} : factor for pre-cast concrete).

M_{kipp} : calculated lateral buckling moment of the reinforced concrete girder

$$M_{kipp} = MK \cdot \sigma_T / \sigma_B$$

Required lateral buckling stability:

$\eta = M_{kipp} / M_{vor} > 2.0$

M_{vor} : maximum span moment

Improvement of the lateral buckling stability

Enlarging the cross section, especially the compression chord, can increase the resisting lateral buckling moment.

Verification of the lateral buckling stability in the installed state in accordance with Mann (/17/ and /18/, required specifications on the "Lateral buckling" tab)

The verification of the lateral buckling stability is based on the flexural buckling of a compression chord idealized to an equivalent cross-section.

The increased stiffnesses in comparison to reinforced concrete caused by prestressing are not considered. The influence of initial imperfections and of the reinforcement can be taken into account, however. As the procedure described by Mann assumes a constant distance between the tension chord and the compression chord over the total length of the girder, it can be used for girders with a variable height only under restricted conditions.

The assumptions the method is based on (parabolic moment behaviour, fork support) are on the safe side under normal conditions.

Explanations concerning the output data

EpsB:	compressive stress on the compression edge
EpsS:	strain at the height of the centre of gravity of the tensile reinforcement
EpsB and EpsS are determined by iteration via the equilibrium of the internal forces.	
heff:	distance of the centre of gravity of the tensile reinforcement from the compression edge in the ridge
xconcrete:	height of the compression zone under the determined strain conditions
Itconcrete:	torsional moment of inertia in the compression zone of the concrete
IyConcrete:	moment of inertia in the compression zone of the concrete about the vertical axis
xconcrete':	height of the equivalent compression zone under the assumption of constant stresses.
Aconcrete':	area of the equivalent compression zone = equivalent compression chord
z':	lever arm of the internal forces
kapa:	auxiliary value:
Iy':	moment of inertia of the equivalent compression chord about the vertical axis
Lamda':	slenderness of the equivalent compression chord
b':	width of the compression chord
ev':	ideal eccentricity of the compressive force due to the initial imperfection of the equivalent chord
mo':	referenced ideal eccentricity of the compressive force
BetaR':	load-bearing stresses on the ideal equivalent chord (/19/)
	EN 2: the concrete is assigned to a concrete class as per DIN 4227 which specifies this rated strength as a maximum
Db:	flexural buckling load on the ideal equivalent chord = resultant force of the compressive concrete stresses at which the girder starts buckling.
SysFaktor:	system factor for the consideration of a variable girder height
myli	= myre: reinforcement ratio of the ideal equivalent chord ($A_s k / A_{concrete}'$)
Mkipp:	calculated lateral buckling moment of the girder $M_{kipp} = D_b \cdot z'$

Required lateral buckling stability:

Eta = $M_{kipp} / M_{vor} > 1.75$

Mvor: maximum span moment

Improvement of the lateral buckling stability

How to correct the defined parameters for the verification described by Mann:

The initial imperfections at the top and the bottom side should be in approximately the same order of magnitude because an inclination of the girder reduces the lateral buckling stability considerably.

An increase of the 'lateral buckling reinforcement' is reasonable, especially, when the compressive concrete zone is fully loaded due to a compressive strain $EpsB$ of 0.35%. The stiffness of the compression chord is to be increased.

Data entered for the structural system (exit the verification of the lateral buckling stability):

An increase of the reinforcement on bottom is only reasonable when the steel strain Eps has reached 0.5%. Due to the lower position of the neutral axis, the compression chord becomes larger and, therefore, also stiffer.

Lateral buckling stability verification in the erection state in accordance with Stiglat

The ideal elastic buckling moment is modified for the suspended beam by an auxiliary value in accordance with Lebelle. This value depends on the horizontal and vertical position of the suspension points. The non-linear concrete behaviour is considered in the erection state in accordance with the method described by Stiglat.

The assumptions the method is based on (parabolic moment behaviour, load application at the centre of gravity = shear centre) are on the safe side under normal conditions.

Due to an inclined suspension position, the girder is loaded by axial forces and bending moments in addition to bending moments caused by self-weight.

The influence of this loading combination is estimated by applying the formula specified by Dunlerley.

The same cable angles are assumed on the left and the right. This is not the case with asymmetric girders or an asymmetric layout of the suspension points. There are different cable angles on the left and the right that provide for the equilibrium of the horizontal components of the cable forces.

Explanations concerning the output on the screen

With lifting beam:

beta4, delta, gamma: auxiliary values for the calculation of $qk1$

f: vertical distance between the suspension points and the centre of gravity of the entire girder

p: distance of the suspension eyes/girder length

j(alpha): auxiliary values for the calculation of $qk1$

qk1: line load under which the girder starts to buckle

AK, Wxo, x, SigmaB, SigmaT, LamdaV

MK: moment due to qk1 at the point x

Mkipp: calculated lateral buckling moment

$Mkipp = MK \cdot \text{SigmaT} / \text{SigmaB}$

Mvor: maximum span moment

Required lateral buckling stability: $\text{Eta} = Mkipp / Mvor > 2.5$

With rope gear:

Nk2: buckling load if only axial forces apply

Mk3: buckling moment if only moments apply

qk: line load under which the girder starts buckling due to a combined loading caused by qk , Mk and Nk

Nk: axial force due to qk (inclined suspension position)

- MK: moment due to qk at the point x
 Ab: cross-sectional area of the girder at the critical section
 AK, W_{x0} , x , σ_B , σ_T , λV
 M_{kipp}: calculated lateral buckling moment
 $M_{kipp} = MK \cdot \sigma_T / \sigma_B$
 M_{vor}: maximum span moment + additional moment due to the cable force
 Required lateral buckling stability: $\eta = M_{kipp} / M_{vor} > 2.5$

Improvement of the lateral buckling stability

How to correct the data entered for the erection state:

- Place the suspension point at a higher level
- Increase the angle of the erecting cable

Data entered for the structural system (exit the verification of the lateral buckling stability):

- Displace the suspension eyes in the direction of the quarter points of the girder length.
- Modify the cross-section geometry (enlarge the compression chord)

Determination of the tensile splitting reinforcement

Tendons with the same stripping are summarized to a force application area. For each area, a tensile splitting reinforcement is calculated as per reference /10/ p. 666, 11.2 for a final anchoring by bond.

The concrete stresses and reinforcing steel stresses are calculated at the end of the force application area (force application length l_{disp} as per equation 8.19). In this calculation, the cross-section properties are included without consideration of existing recesses.


A cross section is placed across the last effective tendon layer. The resultant forces of the concrete stress and the prestressing steel stress are generated below the section. The tendon layers above the centre of gravity of the cross section are considered.

If another load application area exists in front of the current area when looking from the girder end, the concrete force and the reinforcing steel force are determined by the growth of the respective resultant force mentioned above. Otherwise, these forces are determined by the resultant forces themselves.

The difference of the reinforcing steel force and the concrete force determines the shear force. The tensile splitting force is obtained by multiplying the tensile splitting force by the factor k .

The factor k allows you to consider whether the tensile force applies to the edge ($k = 1/3$) or in the middle ($k = 1/2$).

In accordance with the location of the centre of gravity of the prestressing steel layer of the considered area, the factor k is determined by interpolation.



Prestress is to be considered with its calculated value and the factor $\gamma_{p,unfav}$ is to be applied for local effects in accordance with paragraph 2.4.2.2 (3).

The tensile splitting reinforcement is obtained by dividing the result by the calculated value of the reinforcing steel stress f_{yd} .

EN 2, NA_PN, NA_A: $\gamma_{p,unfav} = 1.2$

NA_D: $\gamma_{p,unfav} = 1.35$ (NCI to 2.4.2.2. (3)).

The tensile splitting reinforcement is to be laid in over a shortened application length (strands $\frac{3}{4} * l_{disp}$ or bars $0.5 * l_{disp}$).

Anchoring of the pre-stressing reinforcement

The anchoring length is obtained in accordance with figure 8.17 first from the transfer length l_{pt2} where $\sigma_{pm\infty}$, the full prestress minus all tensile force losses due to creep, shrinkage and relaxation is reached, an additional area up to full utilization of the prestressing steel strength $\sigma_{pd} = f_{pk}/\gamma_s$.

$$l_{bpd} = l_{pt2} + \alpha_2 \cdot \varphi \cdot (\sigma_{pd} - \sigma_{pm\infty}) / f_{bpd} \quad \text{equation 8.21}$$

$\sigma_{pm\infty}$: prestressing steel stress obtained from the effective prestress for $t = \text{infinite}$ at $x = l_{pt2}$

The distance of the first bending crack l_r is obtained when the tensile concrete stresses (tensile edge stress σ_R and/or main tensile stress σ) exceed the tensile concrete strength $f_{ctk0.05}$ under loading in the ULS.

If the crack is inside the anchoring length ($l_r < l_{bpd}$), the anchors are to be verified.

The anchoring area of the prestressing steel is examined on a grid of 30 cm and the anchoring is verified via the tensile force coverage.

The resisting tensile force T_{Ed} on the cross-section x is determined via the expression $T_{Ed} = M_{Ed}/z$ on the cross-section displaced by the offset dimension; M_{Ed} is determined in line with the design situation and the lever arm z is obtained in the verification of the bending strength.

The possible maximum tensile force in the prestressing steel is obtained in accordance with figure 8.17. It reaches the calculated strength of the prestressing steel only at the distance l_{bpd} .

NA_D:

If the crack occurs inside the transfer length ($l_r < l_{pt2}$), l_{bpd} is to be determined via equation NA.8.21.1 and the maximum possible tensile force in the prestressing steel as per figure 8.17DE.

$$l_{bpd} = l_r + \alpha_2 \cdot \varphi \cdot [\sigma_{pd} - \sigma_{pt}(x = l_r)] / f_{bpd} \quad \text{equation 8.21.1}$$

$\sigma_{pt}(x = l_r)$: prestressing steel stress obtained from the effective prestress for $t = \text{infinite}$ at $x = l_r$

Due to the less steep run of the σ -line and the increased length of l_{bpd} , lower values are obtained for the maximum possible tensile force in the prestressing steel. The value $\sigma_{pt}(x=l_r)$ is the stress in the prestressing steel due to the prestress at the time $t = \infty$ at the point $x = l_r$.

The design value of the transfer length l_{pt2} included in the anchoring length l_{bpd} depends on the coefficient η_{p1} for the kind of prestressing steel, the coefficient η_1 for the bond conditions, the tensile concrete strength at the time of the cancellation of the tensile force $f_{ctd}(t)$, the coefficient α_1 for the type of tensile force application, the coefficient α_2 for the shape of the prestressing steel as well on the prestressing steel diameter ϕ .

$$l_{pt2} = 1.2 \cdot l_{pt} \quad \text{equation 8.18}$$

$$l_{pt} = \alpha_1 \cdot \alpha_2 \cdot \varphi \cdot \sigma_{pm0} / f_{bpt} \quad \text{equation 8.17}$$

α_1 : gradual application of the prestressing force 1.0;
sudden application of the tensile force 1.25

α_2 : prestressing steel; round bar 0.25;
strand 0.19

σ_{pm0} : tensile steel stress due to the prestress directly after applying the prestressing force

$$f_{bpt} = \eta_{p1} \cdot \eta_1 \cdot f_{ctd}(t) \quad \text{equation 8.15}$$

η_1 : good bond 1.0
poor bond 0.7t

η_{p1} : profiled wires 2.7
strands 3.2

NA_D: 2.85

$$f_{ctd}(t) = \alpha_{ct} \cdot 0.7 \cdot f_{ctm}(t) / \gamma_C$$

EN2, NA_A, NA_PN, NA_GB: $\alpha_{ct} = 1.0$ $f_{ctm}(t)$ as per equation 3.4

NA_D: $\alpha_{ct} = 0.85$ $f_{ctm}(t) = 0.3 \cdot f_{cm}(t)^{2/3}$ as per /56/ p. 324

The bond stress f_{bpd} included in the anchoring length l_{bpd} depends on the tensile concrete strength f_{ctd} (equation 3.16), the coefficient η_{p2} for the type of prestressing steel and the coefficient η_1 for the bond conditions.

$$f_{bpd} = \eta_{p2} \cdot \eta_1 \cdot f_{ctd} \quad \text{equation 8.20}$$

η_{p1} : profiled wires 1.4
strands 1.2

NA_D: $\eta_{p2} = 1.4$

f_{bpd} applies only if $A_p \leq 100 \text{ mm}^2$

Improved anchoring:

- addition of untensioned reinforcement in the anchoring area
- creation of more favourable conditions in the anchoring area
 - e.g. less stripping, longer projection
 - higher concrete strength at the transfer of the prestressing force
 - elimination of causes for cracking in the anchoring area e.g. support reinforcement

Minimum reinforcement for longitudinal tension

The reinforcement to be calculated as per 9.2.1.1 is intended to prevent failure without signs caused by the failure of tendons and corresponds to the reinforcement for the absorption of the crack moment of the untensioned cross-section.

EN 2, NA_A, NA_PN, NA_GB: $A_{s,min} = 0,26 \cdot f_{ctm}/f_{yk} \cdot b \cdot d > 0.0013 b \cdot d$ dequation 9.1N

NA_D:

$A_{s,min} = \frac{McR}{z \cdot f_{yk}} - A_{p'}$

$McR = f_{ctm} \cdot W_b$ crack moment

W_b : resisting moment on the tension side

f_{ctm} : average tensile strength of the concrete as per table 9

f_{yk} : characteristic value of the yield strength

z : lever arm, approximation $z = 0.9 \cdot d$

d : effective height, reinforced concrete reinforcement and accountable prestressing reinforcement in the tension zone with consideration of the distance of the centre of gravity

$A_{p'}$: accountable prestressing reinforcement (at least two tendons)

up to a third of the existing prestressing reinforcement the distance of which to the reinforcing steel layer is smaller than 250 mm and/or smaller than $0.2 \cdot h$.

Verifications in the **serviceability limit state (SLS)**

The analyses in the serviceability limit state include the following individual verifications:

- Verification of the limitation of the stresses for the concrete, the reinforcing steel and the prestressing steel
- Verification of the limitation of the crack width for loading and minimum reinforcement
- Verification of decompression for specific exposure classes
- Verification of the limitation of the deformation (deformation upwards, downwards and deflection after erection)

Specific requirements, which depend partly on the type of construction (pre-tensioned concrete in the software) and the exposure classes, apply to the verifications in view of the necessity to perform the verification, the limit values to be verified and/or the load combinations to be used.

Infrequent (= characteristic combination)

EN 1990, eq. 6.14

NA_D:	partial safety factors as per DIN EN 1990/NA table NA.A.1.1
EN2, NA_PN, NA_A:	combination coefficients as EN 1990 table A.1.1
NA_GB:	combination coefficients in accordance with NA to BS EN 1990 table NA.A1

Permanent actions are included in the combinations with their characteristic values.

In contrast to other variable actions, the dominant independent action (leading action) is not reduced by the corresponding combination coefficient ψ_0 .

If different actions due to imposed and/or live loads apply, they are treated by default as correlating actions, i.e. as a single action. The action with the greatest combination coefficient is decisive ψ_0 (cf. /41/ p.19, 28, 38). You can cancel the dependency in the design settings, if there is no correlation between these actions.

Frequent combination

EN 1990, eq. 6.15

NA_D:	partial safety factors as per DIN EN 1990/NA table NA.A.1.1
EN2, NA_PN, NA_A:	combination coefficients as EN 1990 table A.1.1
NA_GB:	combination coefficients in accordance with NA to BS EN 1990 table NA.A1

Permanent actions are included in the combinations with their characteristic values.

In contrast to other variable actions, the dominant independent action (leading action) is not reduced by the corresponding combination coefficient ψ_0 .

If different actions due to imposed and/or live loads apply, they are treated by default as correlating actions, i.e. as a single action. The action with the greatest combination coefficient is decisive ψ_0 (cf. /41/ p.19, 28, 38). You can cancel the dependency in the design settings, if there is no correlation between these actions.

Quasi-permanent combination

EN 1990, eq. 6.16

NA_D:	combination coefficients as per DIN EN 1990/NA table NA.A.1.1
EN2, NA_PN, NA_A:	combination coefficients as EN 1990 table A.1.1
NA_GB:	combination coefficients in accordance with NA to BS EN 1990 table NA.A1

Permanent actions are included in the combinations with their characteristic values.

Variable actions with an unfavourable effect are included in the combination with their characteristic value reduced by the quasi-permanent coefficient ψ_2 .

Limitation of the concrete edge stresses and the steel stresses

The verification is performed at the beginning and the end of each creep stage with the respective effective prestress.

As the influence of creep must be considered via a reduced modulus of elasticity of the concrete $E_{c,eff} = E_{cm}/(1 + \varphi)$, which reduces (higher creep factor φ) the concrete stresses but increases the steel stresses with time, the combinations of the maximum moment and the minimum moment of external loads must be examined at the end and the beginning of each creep stage.

Individual verifications

Compressive concrete stresses under the infrequent load combination as per 7.2 (2):

$$\text{Sigc} < k_1 \cdot f_{ck}$$

Compressive concrete stresses under the quasi-permanent load combination as per 7.2 (3):

$$\text{Sigc} < k_1 \cdot f_{ck}$$

This verification simply provides a criterium for non-linear creep which is automatically considered by the software in this case.

Compressive concrete stresses under the infrequent load combination as per 7.2 (5):

$$\text{Sigs} < k_3 \cdot f_{yk}$$

Compressive concrete stresses under the infrequent load combination as per 7.2 (5):

$$\text{Sigp} < k_5 \cdot f_{pk}$$

EN 2: k1= 0.6 k2= 0.45 k3= 0.8 k5= 0.75

Departing from this regulation:

NA_PN: k1= 1.0

NA_D: k5= 0.65

NCl: infrequent load combination: $\text{Sigp} < 0.8 \cdot f_{pk}$ and $\text{Sigp} < 0.9 \cdot f_{p0.1k}$

Compressive concrete stress at the time of the prestressing force application $t = t_{A,Lag}$ as per 5.10.2.2 (5)

$f_{ck}(t)$: The resulting compressive strength $f_{ck}(t)$ at the time of the prestressing force application is $f_{cm}(t) - 8$ with $f_{cm}(t)$ as per equation 3.1.

In accordance with 10.3.1.1.(3), the heat treatment in the prestressing bed the concrete age matched to the temperature t_T as per equation B.10 is considered instead of t and $\beta_{cc}(t)$ in equation 3.1 is limited to 1.0.

$\sigma_{gc} < k_1 \cdot f_{ck}$

EN2, NA_A, NA_PN, NA_GB: $k_6 = 0.7$

NA_D: $k_6 = 0.6$

0.7 is only permissible, if specific prerequisites are met, see reference /52/ p. 63.

The verification is performed with the internal forces in the erecting system also for the case "Lifting the girder up from the mould".

$\sigma_{gc} < 0.45 \cdot f_{ck}(t)$

This verification simply provides a criterium for non-linear creep which is automatically considered by the software in this case. See the chapter [determination of the creep factor and the shrinkage strain](#).

Stress analysis

Internal forces: external loading in accordance with the required load combination

Prestress: as per 5.10.9 with the characteristic value of the prestress still acting at the respective time; for the verification of the steel stresses, however, as per 7.2 (5) with the average value of the prestress


Cross section: the cross section is considered cracked from the time, when the tensile edge stress in the infrequent load combination in state I exceeds $f_{ctk}0.05$. For this time and all later times at which tensile stress would be generated in state I, the stresses are determined in state II (recommendation of reference /54/ p. 404).

Deflection in state I

All stresses resulting from external loads are determined with the ideal cross-sectional properties.


If a cast-in-place complement was added, the ideal composite cross-section is used for all loads after the creation of the bond. The concrete stresses of the added layers calculated this way are additionally multiplied by the relation of the moduli of elasticity of the concretes.

Stresses due to prestress as well as creep, shrinkage and relaxation are determined with the method described by Abelein using the respective partial internal forces and partial cross-sections.



Concrete edge stresses in state II

As the stresses cannot be superimposed, the internal forces caused by prestress and external load are combined to a maximum or minimum moment together with the associated longitudinal force. The edge strain and compressive strain in state II is determined for these combinations.



A linear elastic behaviour of the concrete with failure of the tension zone is assumed. The influence of creep is considered via a reduced modulus of elasticity of the concrete $E_{c,eff} = E_{cm} / (1 + \varphi)$.

The creep factor φ is the sum of the creep factors of the creep stages completed at the respective time.

For the infrequent and frequent load combinations, for the time $t = tE, Nut$, $\varphi_{eff} = \varphi * M_{qp,k} / ME0,k$ is used instead of φ for the 'usage' creep stage (ÖNorm B 1922-1-1 10.1.1 d).

$M_{qp,k}$: quasi-permanent load combination including prestress

$ME0,k$: load combination including prestress required for the verification

NA_A: The increase of the steel stresses due to state II is obtained in the following expression

$$\Delta\sigma = \xi_{r^2} * \epsilon(y_p) * E_p \quad (10.1.1. c)$$

The neutral axis position and edge strain at which the internal and external forces are in balance is sought after by iterative approximation.

Specialities in connection with cast-in-place complements:

For cast-in-place complements, the width of the added layers that is used in the calculation is modified in accordance with the relation of the moduli of elasticity of the cast-in-place concrete and the pre-fabricated concrete.

For verifications after the creation of the bond (from the end of the creep stage 'casting of cast-in-place complement', it is assumed that the global cross-section has the same effect as if it had been fully casted right at the beginning. This means that the moment from prestress is referenced to the centre of gravity of the composite cross section in the calculation.

The considered creep factor is averaged in accordance with the area portions.

Prestressing steel and reinforcing steel stresses

The steel stresses result from strain at the height of the steel fibre that is calculated assuming a plain strain state. A stress portion in the prestressing bed condition due to prestress, creep, shrinkage and relaxation is added to the considered strain.

Limitation of the crack width

The verification is performed at the beginning and the end of each creep stage with the respective effective prestress. If prestress generates tension on top as this is generally the case, the verification on the upper edge is performed at the beginning and the verification on the lower edge at the end of the creep stage. In other cases, it is done the other way round.

In addition, the maximum moment at the lower edge and the minimum moment at the upper edge resulting from external loads are considered.

The decisive internal forces combination, the permissible crack width and an additional verification of the decompression are determined based on the exposure classes in accordance with table 7.1.

For reinforced concrete components:

	X0, XC1	XC2/XC3/XC4	XS1-3, XD1-3	Comment
EN	0.4 + Qc	0.3 + Qc	0.3 + Qc	Tab. 7.1N
NA_D	= EN	= EN	= EN	Tab. 7.1DE
NA_GB	0.3 + Qc	= EN	= EN	
NA_A	= EN	= EN	= EN	
NA_PN	= EN	= EN	= EN	

Pre-tensioned concrete

	X0, XC1	XC2/XC4	XS1-3, XD1-3	Comment
EN	0.2 + Fc	0.2+ Fc Dec. Qk	Dec. Fc	Tab. 7.1N
NA_D	= EN	= EN	0.2+ Ic and Dec. Fc	Tab. 7.1DE
NA_GB	= EN	= EN	= EN	
NA_A	= EN	= EN	0.2+ Ic and Dec. Fc	
NA_PN	= EN	= EN	= EN	

Qc quasi-permanent combination
 Fc frequent combination
 Ic infrequent combination
 Dec. verification of the decompression

If no tensile forces greater than $f_{ctk0.05}$ result for the infrequent load combination in state I at the current time, the verification of the limitation of the crack width can be dispensed with.

If the edge stress under the decisive load combination is no tensile stress, the verification of the limitation of the crack width can also be dispensed with.

The verification is based on a direct calculation of the crack width, which must be smaller than the permissible crack width.

$$wk = s_{r,max} \cdot (\epsilon_{sm} - \epsilon_{cm}) \quad \text{Gl. 7.8}$$

The existing crack width wk results from the maximum crack spacing $s_{r,max}$ and the average strain difference ($\epsilon_{sm} - \epsilon_{cm}$) of concrete and steel.

Max. crack spacing as per equation 7.11

$$s_{r,max} = k_3 \cdot c + \frac{k_1 \cdot k_2 \cdot k_4 \cdot \phi}{\rho_{p,eff}}$$

k_1 : coefficient for reinforcement for the bond quality

	$k1 = (\varphi_s \cdot n_s \cdot k1s + \varphi_p \cdot n_p \cdot k1p) / (\varphi_s \cdot n_s + \varphi_p \cdot n_p)$
	k1s= 0.8 good bond quality
	1.6 poor bond quality
k ₂ :	coefficient of expansion distribution
	Bending: 0.5
	Tension: 1.0
	Bending + tension $(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) / (2 \cdot \varepsilon_1)$
c:	concrete cover on longitudinal reinforcement
φ:	mean diameter of the tensile reinforcement as per equation 7.12
k ₃ , k ₄ :	additional coefficients, NDP
ρ _{peff} :	effective reinforcement ratio in the effective tension zone as per equation 7.10
A _{ceff} :	area of the effective tension zone
h _{c,ef} :	height of the effective tension zone as per 7.3.2 (3)
A _p :	reinforcement ratio in the effective tension zone
ξ ₁ :	reduction factor for the bond strength of the prestressing steel as per equation 7.5
ξ:	coefficient of the bond strength of the prestressing steel as per table 6.2
φ _p	equivalent diameter of the prestressing steel as per 6.8.2
A _s :	reinforcing steel in the effective tension zone
EN 2, NA_PN, NA_GB:	k ₃ = 3.4 k ₄ = 0.425
NA_A, NA_D:	$S_{r,max} = \phi / (3.6 \cdot \rho_{peff}) < \sigma_s \cdot \phi / (3.6 \cdot f_{cteff})$

Mean strain difference as per equation 7.9

$$\varepsilon_{sm} - \varepsilon_{cm} = (\sigma_s - k_t \cdot f_{cteff} / \rho_{peff} \cdot (1 + \alpha_e \cdot \rho_{peff})) / E_s \geq 0.6 \sigma_s / E_s$$

k_t: 0.4 long-term load action

f_{cteff}: f_{ctm} as per table 3.1

α_e = E_s/E_{cm}

σ_s: stress in the tensile reinforcement when assuming a cracked cross-section

NA_D: effective steel stress with consideration of the different bond properties of the reinforcing steel and the prestressing steel 7.3.3 (2) NCI, equation NA 7.5.3

In the calculation of the crack spacing, it is distinguished between a single crack and the final cracking state.

Where a single crack is concerned, the entire tension zone of the concrete cross-section is involved in the cracking process and the steel strain recedes except for the concrete strain (cf. /33/ figure 2a). The crack spacing $S_{r,max}$ results on the left side of equation 7.11, the mean strain difference on the right side of equation 7.9 (cf. /33/ 5.2).

In the final cracking state, the bond deteriorates and is everywhere smaller than the steel strain. Where thick components are concerned, only a part of the tension zone of the concrete cross-section is involved in the cracking process (cf. /33/ figure 2b). The crack spacing $S_{r,max}$ results accordingly on the left side of equation 7.11, the mean strain difference on the right side of equation 7.9 (cf. /33/ 5.2).

► See the output example: [Limitation of cracking](#)

Verification not successful

Inadmissible crack widths are marked with an asterisk (*). The reinforcement of the concerned side must be increased, or the diameter of that side must be reduced if possible. The undesired effect of the prestress creates cracks especially on top. This effect can be prevented by stripping the insulation.

On bottom, you can reduce the crack width by increasing the prestressing force or by reducing the creep and shrinkage losses.

Minimum reinforcement for the limitation of the crack width

The minimum reinforcement is used to limit the crack width of the constraint internal forces and residual stresses. It is calculated for the crack internal forces.

If you can exclude the mentioned causes, e.g. for statically determined pre-fabricated components supported without constraint (cf. /35/ p. 5-18), the calculation of the minimum reinforcement is not required. You can optionally exclude this calculation in the design settings.

Otherwise, a minimum reinforcement as per 7.3.2 is calculated for the top and the bottom face, if the decisive extreme concrete stress in the infrequent combination is greater than the following limit value on the respective cross-section side (7.3.2 (4):

EN 2, NA_A, NA_PN, NA_GB: $\sigma > f_{ct,eff}$

NA_A: $\sigma > -0 \text{ N/mm}^2$

NA_D: $\sigma > -1 \text{ N/mm}^2$

The minimum reinforcement for flanged cross-sections is calculated separately for the web and the flange, whereby the rectangle over the total cross section height is considered as the web and the remaining parts of the plate as the flange.

$$A_{s,min} \cdot \sigma_s = k_c \cdot k \cdot f_{ct,eff} \cdot A_{ct} \quad (\text{equation 7.1})$$

k coefficient for non-linearly distributed internal stresses

1.0 ($h \leq 300 \text{ mm}$)... 0.65 ($h \geq 800 \text{ mm}$)

h : web height or flange width

NA-D: h is the smaller value of the partial cross section

NA-D, NA-A: with internal constraint, $k \cdot 0.8$ applies

$f_{ct,eff}$ tensile strength, f_{ctm} ($t \leq 28d$)

NA_D: $\geq 2.9 \text{ N/mm}^2$ if $t \geq 28 d$

k_c coefficient for the stress distribution

$$k_c = 0.4 \cdot (1 - \sigma_c / (k_1 \cdot f_{ct,eff} \cdot h/h'))$$

σ_c : concrete stress (state I) under internal crack forces
in the centre of gravity of the partial cross section

Chords hollow box, T-cross sections, for internal crack forces completely under tension

$$k_c = 0.9 \cdot F_{cr} / (A_{ct} \cdot f_{ct,eff}) \geq 0.5$$

F_{cr} : tensile force in the chord loaded by internal crack forces (state I)

σ_s : Tab. 7.2N with D_{s1} , derivation ▶ see [/54/](#) p. 7-6

$$D_{s1} = D_s \cdot f_{ct0} / f_{ct,eff} \cdot 2 \cdot (h-d) / (k_c \cdot h_{cr})$$

NA_D, NA_A:

As is determined via the relationships of the direct crack width calculation.

The force F_s the reinforcing steel must resist to is determined by the resultant force of the tension wedge under internal crack forces in state I F_{cr} on the left side of equation 7.1N.

With $F_s = k \cdot k_c \cdot f_{ct,eff} \cdot A_{ct}$ the equation is as follows:

$$A_s = \sqrt{\frac{ds \cdot (1 - \beta t) \cdot F_s \cdot F_s}{3.6 \cdot E_s \cdot w_k \cdot f_{ct,eff}}}$$

If $F_s > F_{cre}$ (tensile concrete force in the effective tension zone $F_{cre} = A_{ceff} \cdot f_{ct,eff}$), the following may be assumed:

$$A_s = \sqrt{\frac{ds \cdot F_{cre} \cdot (F_s - \beta t \cdot F_{cre})}{3.6 \cdot E_s \cdot w_k \cdot f_{ct,eff}}}$$

Verification of the decompression

The verification of decompression requires that the tendon must at least have a defined distance a to the overcompressed concrete under the action of the load combination with the unfavourable characteristic values of the prestress (r_{inf} , r_{sup}) that is determined by the exposure classes.

XC2, XC3, XC4: quasi-permanent load combination

XD1, XD2, XD3, XS1, XS2, XS3: frequent load combination


EN2, NA_A, NA_PN, NA_GB:

$a = 25 \text{ mm}$

NA_D:

$a = 100 \text{ mm} \geq h/10$

As per /52/ p. 120, you can dispense with the verification of the end areas ($x < l_{disp}$ or $x > LBI - l_{disp}$ application length l_{disp} , see the paragraph effective prestress)



The verification is successful when the neutral tension axis coincides with the decompression line defined by the distance a or runs behind it. a is referenced to the tendon axis.

If tensile stresses occur at the examined cross-section edge, state II becomes decisive because of the accompanying reduction of the compression zone height.

The verification is performed for the states 'tension on top' and 'tension on bottom'. For tension on top, the resulting decompression line runs at the distance a from the topmost tendon layer, i.e. $Y_{pMax} + a$. For tension on bottom, the resulting decompression line runs at the distance a from the lowest tendon layer, i.e. $Y_{pMin} - a$.

If the decompression line projects beyond the cross-section, a verification for the cross-section edge is sufficient.

For illustration purposes, the concrete stresses σ_{Dk} at the decompression line and/or at the edge of the cross section are indicated in the software. They are determined with the strain in state II, if applicable, and, if tensile strain applies, they are a fictive value to demonstrate the exceeded verification limits.

Limitation of deformation

Time and decisive loading:

Deformation is calculated as per DIN EN 1990 A1.4.3 (1) with a moment from external loads, a load combination of the serviceability limit state (quasi-permanent, frequent or infrequent combination) as well as with the prestressing forces highly active at the time of examination (as per 5.10.9 (1), characteristic value).

Especially when physical reasons play a role in addition to aesthetical criteria (e.g. protection of partition walls or glass facades) or when variable loads would not be considered because of $\psi_2 = 0$, it might be necessary to assume a less favourable load combination than the quasi-permanent combination specified in 7.4.1 (4).

Variable loads are only considered if their superposition with the prestress is unfavourable. The sag is calculated at the beginning and at the end of the 'usage' creep stage.

Beginning of the creep stage:

The maximum prestress in the time segment applies and is considered accordingly with its upper characteristic value (factor *rsup*). If the prestress generates tension on top (typical case) the loads of the *min M* load case are considered.

End of the creep stage:

After deduction of the losses from creep and shrinkage, the minimum tensile stress in the time segment applies and is considered with its lower characteristic value (factor *rinf*). If the prestress generates tension on top (typical case) the loads of the *max M* load case are considered.

From the difference of the sags at the beginning of the usage and its end, a deflection after erection is determined. This deflection must not exceed a permissible value in accordance with 7.4.1(5).

You can configure the permissible values for the deflection and the deflection after erection. They are preset to L/250 and L/500.

Basis of calculation

The sag results from the integral of the curvatures and the moment due to a virtual force applying at the point of the deformation to be determined over the member length (/27/ T.1, equation 8.21).

In the software, the curvatures ρ and the virtual moment M' are calculated at the pertaining grid points and are integrated over the member section length.

The mapping accuracy of discontinuities such as concentrated loads, stripping, cantilever etc. depends on the number of grid points.

The curvature consists of a portion due to bending including creep and a portion due to shrinkage. You can optionally eliminate the portion due to shrinkage in the design settings. When calculating the curvatures, a tensile stiffener is considered.

Curvature due to bending:

State I: $\rho M_1 = M_{Ed} \cdot k\phi / (E \cdot I_i)$

M_{Ed} : internal moment of the quasi-permanent combination including the moment from effective prestress (characteristic value)

I_i : ideal moment of inertia

Cast-in-place complement:

After creation of the composite cross section ($t > tE, Bet$), deformation is calculated with the stiffnesses of the composite cross section I_{iv} . All previously acting load portions ($G1+V+SKR1+GE$) are therefore increased with the factor $kl = I_{iv}/I_{if} - 1$.

E : modulus of the concrete, secant modulus E_{cm}

→ see [Concrete properties](#), coefficient α_e

Cast-in-place concrete complement:

Modulus of elasticity of the pre-fabricated concrete (the modulus of elasticity of the cast-in-place concrete is considered in the ideal moment of inertia of the composite cross section).

$k\phi$: the resilience of the concrete under compression load is considered by including a factor, that is determined by the action over time of the participating actions (cf. /48/, p. 405 et seq. or /53/ p. 349 et seq.).

$$k\phi = (\sum(M_i \cdot (1 + \phi_i(t_i, tE)) - \Delta M_{csr}) / M_{Ed}$$

$\phi_i(t_i, tE)$: the effective creep factor for the load i

t_i : start of the load action

prestress V, self-weight G1: $t_i = tA, Lag$ (releasing the anchors)

Imposed loads Q, subsequent permanent load G2: $t_i = tA, Nut$ (start of usage)

tE: end of the examined creep stage:

Effective creep factor means in the sense of paragraph 5.8.4 that the partial creep factor 'usage' is reduced by the factor $k = (My_d + M_v) / (My + M_v)$.

(My : external loads of the selected combination, M_v : characteristic prestress, My_d : external loads of the quasi-permanent combination). If the deflection is calculated with the frequent or infrequent combination, the resulting $k < 1$, in the quasi-permanent combination $k = 1$.

In combination with cast-in-place complements, the creep factor of the composite cross section is determined by the creep factors of the partial cross sections weighted in accordance with the transformed strain stiffnesses (cf. /53/ p. 354)

ΔM_{csr} : additionally, the gradual occurrence of creep and shrinkage losses are considered in connection with the prestress. This is achieved via

the correction factor $\Delta M_{csr} = M_{csr} * (1 - \rho * \phi_{ik})$.

M_{csr} : moment caused by creep and shrinkage

ρ : aging coefficient (fullness) of the creep function

ϕ_{ik} : creep factor of the examined creep stage

$k_l * \Sigma(M_j)$ only with cast-in-place complements after creation of the bond
see explanatory notes on l_i

State II $\rho M_2 = (\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1) / h$

$\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1$: edge strain under quasi-permanent loads in state II with a linear elastic concrete action curve
creep is considered via the reduced modulus of elasticity $E_{cm} / (1 + \varphi(t_0, t))$. A weighting of the factor $(1 + \varphi)$ in accordance with the load history is not possible in this case.

$\varphi(t_0, t)$: effective creep factor from the release of the anchoring $t_0 = tA, Lag$ until the considered time t . It is the sum of the creep factors of the corresponding creep sections. (effective creep factor: see explanations above)

In combination with cast-in-place complements, the creep factor of the composite cross section is determined by the creep factors of the partial cross sections weighted in accordance with the transformed strain stiffnesses (cf. /53/ p. 354)

h : component height

Curvature due to shrinkage:

State I:

$\rho S_1 = -\varepsilon_{cs}(t_0, t) * \alpha_E * S_{x1} / I_1$ (EN 1992-1-1: 7.21)

in /54/ p 399 the expression rephrased to:

$\rho S_1 = -M_{cs1} / (E I_1(t))$

M_{cs1} : moment due to shrinkage impeded by the reinforcement

$M_{cs1} = -\varepsilon_{cs}(t_0, t) * E_s * S_{x1}$

$\varepsilon_{cs}(t_0, t)$: Shrinkage strain before releasing the anchoring $t_0 = tA, Lag$ up to the examined time t

For cast-in-place complements, the shrinkage strain of the pre-fabricated component is assumed for reasons of simplification.

$S_{x1} = \Sigma(A_{si} * z_{si})$ static moment of the reinforcement,

z_{si} : distance of the reinforcement to the centre of gravity of the ideal cross section, positive if located below the centre of gravity

$EI_1(t) = E_{\text{ceff},t} \cdot I_i$ stiffness in state I at the time t
 $E_{\text{ceff},t} = E_{\text{cm}} / (1 + \varphi(t_0, t))$
 I_i ideal moment of inertia

State II:

$$\rho S_2 = -\varepsilon_{cs}(t_0, t) \cdot \alpha_E \cdot S_{X_2} / I_2 \quad (\text{EN 1992-1-1: 7.21})$$

in /54/ p. 399 the expression rephrased to:

$$\rho S_2 = M_{cs2} / (E I_2(t))$$

M_{cs1} moment due to shrinkage impeded by the reinforcement

$$M_{cs2} = -\varepsilon_{cs}(t_0, t) \cdot E S \cdot S_{X_2}$$

$$S_{X_2} = \sum (A_{si} \cdot z_{si}) \quad \text{static moment of the reinforcement,}$$

z_{si} : distance of the reinforcement to the neutral axis, positive if the laxon is below the neutral axis:

$$E I_2(t) = M E d / \rho M_2 \quad \text{stiffness in state II at the time } t$$

Tension stiffener and overall curvature:

A distribution coefficient ζ that depends on the degree of cracking is used to consider the contribution of the concrete between the cracks.

The total curvature is obtained from the curvatures in state I and II weighted with ζ .

$$\rho = \zeta \cdot \rho(ZII) + (1 - \zeta) \cdot \rho(ZI)$$

EN2, NA_A, NA_PN, NA_GB:

$$\zeta = 1 - \beta \cdot (\sigma_{sr} / \sigma_{s2})^2 \quad (\text{equation 7.19})$$

β : coefficient of the load application period 0.5 (long-term action)

σ_{sr} : steel stress in state II obtained from the internal crack forces with f_{ctm}

σ_{s2} : steel stress under load in state II

$$\sigma_{s2} < \sigma_{sr} \quad \zeta = 0$$

EN2-D: (cf. /54/ p. 393)

$$\sigma_{s2} < \sigma_{sr}$$

$$\zeta = 0 \quad (\text{/54/ p. 404 crack criterion for the deformation calculation } f_{ctm})$$

$$\sigma_{sr} < \sigma_{s2} < 1.3 \cdot \sigma_{sr}$$

$$\zeta = 1 - (\beta_t \cdot (\sigma_{s2} - \sigma_{sr}) + (1.3 \cdot \sigma_{sr} - \sigma_{s2})) / (0.3 \cdot \sigma_{sr}) \cdot (\varepsilon_{sr2} - \varepsilon_{sr1}) / \varepsilon_{s2}$$

$$1.3 \cdot \sigma_{sr} < \sigma_{s2} < f_y:$$

$$\zeta = 1 - \beta_t \cdot (\varepsilon_{sr2} - \varepsilon_{sr1}) / \varepsilon_{s2}$$

$\varepsilon_{sr2}, \sigma_{sr}$: steel strain and steel stress in state II obtained from the internal crack forces with f_{ctm}

ε_{sr1} steel strain in state I obtained from internal crack forces

The crack moment is determined with f_{ctm} and with Ned in accordance with the effective prestressing force; crack strains are determined with consideration of $\phi(t_0, t)$.

$\varepsilon_{s2}, \sigma_{s2}$: steel strain and steel stress determined under load in state II with consideration of $\phi(t_0, t)$

β_t coefficient of load application period 0.25 (long-term action)

The assumption to determine ε_{s2} , or σ_{s2} , under the more unfavourable infrequent load combination (default, cf. /52/ p.134) reduces tension stiffening. Consequently, higher deflections occur, especially when the beam has few cracks under quasi-permanent loads.

Optional: tension stiffening with the load combination selected for the calculation of the pre-deformation (e.g. to compare them with the results in former software versions)

Verification not successful

If the permissible deformations are exceeded at the bottom, you should either increase the bending stiffness of the component by increasing the cross section or select a higher concrete class or increase the prestress. The latter is limited by the higher negative sag before and after the installation, however.

By checking the corresponding option in the output profile, you can put out intermediate results from the curvature calculation.

Modification of the length of the girder at the supports

The length of the girder changes due to temperature, creep and shrinkage. This change produces horizontal support reactions in combination with longitudinal displacement impediments.

When activating the option for the verifications on the supports in the data-entry menu, the change in length is calculated for each creep stage.

When working through the grid to determine the critical sections, the change in length due to creep and shrinkage at the top and bottom face of the girder is determined for each grid section. This allows you to consider parameters that might change over the length of the girder such as stiffness, creep factors, shrinkage strain, creep-generating stresses as well as stress due to creep and shrinkage in line with the density of the grid.

Reference literature

- /1/ EC2, T1 (June 1992)
- /1a/ EC2, T.1-3, German Draft June 1994, BK96 T2
- /2/ Draft of DIN 1045 02.1996
- /3/ DIN 4227, Part 1, and change of A1
- /4/ DAfStb Anwendungsrichtlinie zu EC2, T1
- /5/ DAfStb, Booklet 425, Bemessungshilfsmittel zu EC2, T1
- /6/ DAfStb, Booklet 320, Erläuterungen zur DIN 4227
- /7/ Grasser, Kupfer, ...: "Bemessung von Stahl- und Spannbetonbau teilen", BK95, P1, p. 303 et seq.
- /8/ Litzner: "Bemessungsgrundlagen nach EC2", BK95, P1, p. 519 et seq.
- /9/ Deutscher Betonverein: "Beispiele zur Bemessung von Betontragwerken nach EC2", 1994
- /10/ Kupfer: "Bemessung von Spannbetonbauteilen nach DIN 4227", BK94, P. 1, p. 589 et seq.
- /11/ Bieger: "Stahlbeton- und Spannbetontragwerke nach EC2", 1993
- /12/ Zerna: "Spannbetontträger", 1987, p.106 et seq.
- /13/ Abelein: "Ein einfaches Verfahren zur Berechnung von Verbundkonstruktionen", Bauingenieur 1987, p. 127 - 132
- /14/ Deneke, Holz, Litzner: "Übersicht über praktische Verfahren zum Nachweis der Kippstabilität schlanker Stahl und Spannbetontträger", Beton- und Stahlbetonbau 1985, 9, p. 238 - 243, 10, p. 274 - 280, 11, p. 299 - 304.
- /15/ Rafla, Die Bautechnik 1975, Booklet 8, p. 269 - 275
- /16/ Stiglat, K...: "Zur Näherungsberechnung der Kippplasten von Stahl- und Spannbetontägern über Vergleichsschlankheiten", Beton- und Stahlbetonbau 10, 1991, p. 274 - 280.
- /17/ Mann, W.: "Kippnachweis und -aussteifung von schlanken Stahl- und Spannbetontägern", Beton- und Stahlbetonbau 1976, 2, p. 37 - 42.
- /18/ Mann, W.: "Anwendung des vereinfachten Kippnachweises auf T- Profile aus Stahlbeton", Beton- und Stahlbetonbau 1985, 9, p. 235 - 237.
- /19/ Kasperek, K.; Hailer W.: Nachweis und Bemessungsverfahren zum Stabilitätsnachweis nach der neuen DIN 1045, Düsseldorf (Werner 1973)
- /20/ Rossner, W.; Graubner, C.: Spannbetonbauwerke Part 1, Bemessungsbeispiele nach DIN 4227, Berlin (Ernst & Sohn) 1992
- /21/ Leonhardt, F.: Vorlesungen über Massivbau Part 3, Berlin (Springer) 1974
- /22/ Rossner, W.; Graubner, C.: Spannbetonbauwerke Part 2, Bemessungsbeispiele nach Eurocode
- /23/ König, G.; Tue, N.; Pommering, D.: Kurze Erläuterung zur Neufassung DIN 4227 Part 1, Bauingenieur 1996, p. 83 - 88
- /24/ Geistefeldt; Goris: Tragwerke aus bewehrten Beton nach Eurocode 2, Berlin (Beuth) 1993
- /25/ Bachmann, H.: Teilweise Vorspannung, Erfahrungen aus der Schweiz; Beton- und Stahlbetonbau 2/1980, p. 40 - 44
- /26/ Kupfer H.: Die Wirtschaftlichkeit als ein Kriterium zur Wahl des Vorspanngrades, Betonwerk+Fertigteiltechnik 5/1986
- /27/ Litzner: "Bemessungsgrundlagen nach EC2", BK96, Part 1
- /28/ DIN 1045-1 Amended Version of July 2001
- [29] DIN 18800-1
- /30/ Deutscher Ausschuss für Stahlbeton, Booklet 525
- / 31 / Zilch, Rogge: "Bemessung der Stahl- und Spannbetonbauteile nach DIN 1045-1", Betonkalender 2002, Part I

- /32/ Hegger/Nitsch, „Neuentwicklung bei Spannbetonfertigteilen“, Beton- und Fertigteiljahrbuch 2000, p. 96 et seq.
- / 33 / Tue, Pierson: "Ermittlung der Rissbreite und Nachweiskonzept nach DIN 1045-1", Beton- und Stahlbeton 5/2001
- /34/ DIN 1055:-100 Edition of March 2001
- /35/ Deutscher Betonverein "Beispiele zur Bemessung nach DIN 1045-1", 2002
- /36/ Backes: "Überprüfung der Güte eines praxisgerechten Näherungsverfahrens zum Nachweis der Kippsicherheit schlanker Stahl- und Spannbetonträger", Beton- und Stahlbetonbau 7/1995 p. 176 et seq.
- /37/ Reinhardt, "Beton", Betonkalender 2002, part 1
- / 38 / Curbach/Zilch, "Einführung in DIN 1045-1" Ernst und Sohn 2001
- /39/ Fischer, "Begrenzung der Rissbreite und Mindestbewehrung", seminary documents DIN 1045-1 Friedrich+Lochner GmbH, Berlin 2001
- /40/ Graubner/Six, "Spannbetonbau" S.F.38 et seq., Stahlbetonbau aktuell 2001, Werner Verlag
- /41/ Grünberg, "Grundlagen der Tragwerksplanung, Sicherheitskonzept und Bemessungsregeln für den konstruktiven Hochbau - Erläuterungen zu DIN 1055-100", Beuth Verlag 2004
- /42/ Dr. Schlüter, "Auslegung von Betonbauten", Vortrag bei DGE/DIN Gemeinschaftstagung "Auslegung von Bauwerken gegen Erdbeben - Die neue DIN 4149", Leinfelden Echterding EN2005
- / 44 / Commented abbreviated version of DIN 1045, 2nd revised edition, Beuth 2005
- 45/2nd Amendment of DIN 1045-1 (2005-06)
- /46/ Amendment 1: 2005-05 DAfStb Booklet 525
- /47/ Krüger, Mertzsch, "Beitrag zur Verformungsberechnung von Stahlbetonbauten", Beton- und Stahlbetonbau 1998, Booklet 10
- /48/ Rossner, W.; Graubner, C.: Spannbetonbauwerke Part 3, Bemessungsbeispiele nach DIN 1045-1 und DIN Fachbericht 102, Berlin (Ernst & Sohn) 2005
- /49/ Fingerloos, "Erläuterungen zur praktischen Anwendung der Norm", Betonkalender 2006 Part 2
- /50/ Revised Version of DIN 1045-1 (2008)
- /51/ Deutscher Beton- und Bautechnikverein, Booklet 14 (2008)
- /52/ Deutscher Ausschuss für Stahlbeton, Booklet 600
- /53/ Rossner, W.; Graubner, C.: Spannbetonbauwerke Part 4, Bemessungsbeispiele nach DIN 2, Berlin (Ernst & Sohn) 2012
- /54/ Zilch/Zehetmayer: "Bemessung im konstruktiven Ingenieurbau nach DIN 1045-1 (2008) und EN 1992-1-1", Springer-Verlag, 2nd edition 2009
- /55/ Graubner/Six, "Spannbetonbau" p. F.38 et seq., Stahlbetonbau aktuell 2012, Werner Verlag
- /56/ Eurocode 2 für Deutschland, kommentierte Fassung Beuth 2012
- /57/ Rossner; "Bruecken aus Spannbeton-Fertigteilen", Ernst und Sohn 1988